

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

January 27, 2012

In Reply Refer To: HSST/ B-222

Mr. Dallas James Armorflex International Ltd 156 Foundry Road Silverdale 0932 Auckland New Zealand

Dear Mr. James:

This letter is in response to your request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Name of system: Armorwire

Type of system:

Cable Barrier with 3 or 4 Cable

NCHRP Report 350 TL-3 & TL-4

Testing conducted by:

Holmes Solutions Ltd (HSL)

Date of request:

Request initially acknowledged:

December 15, 2010

December 17, 2010

Task Force 13 Designator: SGM33 a-b

Decision

The following device is eligible, with details provided below:

• Armorwire Cable Barrier with 3 or 4 Cable

Based on a review of crash test results submitted by the manufacturer certifying the device described herein meets the crashworthiness criteria of the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 350, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval or endorsement by the FHWA for any particular purpose or use.

The FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not endorse products or services and the issuance of a reimbursement eligibility letter is not an endorsement of any product or service.

Requirements

Roadside safety devices should meet the guidelines contained in NCHRP Report 350 (Report 350) if tested prior to January 1, 2011, or the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) if tested after that date. The FHWA Memorandum "Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features", dated July 25, 1997, provides further guidance on crash testing requirements of longitudinal barriers.

FHWA: HSST: NArtimovich: sf: x61331:1/19/12

File: s: //directory folder/HSST/Artimovich/B-222 _Armorwire Cable Barrier Letter.dotx

cc: HSST (NArtimovich; JDewar)

Description

The Armorwire systems use either 3 (for TL-3) or 4 (for TL-4) 19 millimeters (¾-inch) 3 x 7 strand galvanized pre-stretched cable with a breaking strength in excess of 227kN. The lower 3 cables are the same configuration for each system with cable heights of 530 millimeters (20.8 inches), 650 millimeters (26.0 inches) and 770 millimeters (30.3 inches) to the centre of the cable from ground level. For the 4 cable system, a second cable is added to the top slot in the post at a height of 790 millimeters (31.1 inches) from ground level. The cables are housed in galvanized steel, flat sided oval posts 1220 millimeters (48 inches) long and 3 millimeters (⅓-inch) thick. Once connected to the appropriate terminal ends, the system is tensioned to a nominal 25kN (5,600 pounds) at 21°C (70°F). Each post has a notch on each side and 1 slot cut into the top of the post. All posts used in the Armorwire cable barrier are installed in 350 millimeters (13.8 inches) deep plastic sockets cast into concrete foundation piles 300 millimeters (11.8 inches) in diameter by 750 millimeters (29.5 inches) deep. The soil was AASHTO 'standard' soil. Drawings of both Armorwire designs are provided as an enclosure to this correspondence.

Crash Testing

The following four (4) tests for a re-directive cable barrier as per Report 350 TL-3 and TL-4 were conducted. The barrier was anchored using the ATE-4 cable terminal which was previously accepted by the FHWA Acceptance Letters CC-105 and CC-105A as either a 3 or 4 cable terminal end.

- A. Test 4-10 was conducted with the 820C test vehicle on the 4 cable system which was 115 meters (377 feet) long including two 8 meters (26 feet) long Terminal Ends. The CIP was mid-span between posts 10 and 11, and the posts were installed on 3 meters (9.83 feet) centers in the impact area. The vehicle was smoothly re-directed by the barrier with all 4 cables remaining in contact with the impact side of the vehicle. The occupant risk values were all below the preferred limits. The dynamic deflection was 1280 millimeters (50.4 inches).
- B. Test 3-11 was conducted with the 2000P truck on the 3 cable system which was 130 meters (427 feet) long including two 8 meters (26 feet) long Terminal Ends. The CIP was 2 meters (6.56 feet) upstream of post 13, and the posts were again installed on 3 meters (9.83 feet) centers in the impact area. The vehicle was slowed and smoothly re-directed by the barrier. The occupant risk values were all below the Report 350 preferred limits. The dynamic deflection was 1540 millimeters (60.6 inches).
- C. Test 4-11 was not conducted since it is identical to test 3-11.
- D. Test 4-12 was conducted with the single-unit truck on the 4 cable system which was 130 meters (427-foot) long including two 8-meter (26-foot) long Terminal Ends. The CIP was at post 13, with the posts again installed on 3-meter (9-foot-10-inch) centers in the impact area. The vehicle was slowed and smoothly re-directed and captured by the barrier. The occupant risk values were all below the preferred limits. The dynamic deflection was 1650-millimeter (65.0-inch).
- E. Test 3-11 was conducted with the 2000P truck a second time on the 3 cable system which was 115 meters (377feet) long including two 8 meters (26 feet) long Terminal Ends. The CIP was mid-span between posts 10 and 11, this time with the posts installed on 9 meters (29.5 feet) centers in the impact area. The vehicle was smoothly re-directed and captured by the barrier with

all 3 cables remaining in contact with the impact side of the vehicle. The occupant risk values were all below the preferred limits. The dynamic deflection was 3270 millimeters (128.7 inches).

The crash test summary sheets are included as an enclosure to this correspondence.

Findings

The systems described above passed all required Report 350 crash tests. Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) associated with all tests are below the preferred limit and Occupant Ridedown Acceleration (ORA) for all tests were below the preferred limit.

In your letter, you requested FHWA review of the following configurations for the Armorwire as an NCHRP 350 TL-3 and TL-4 Longitudinal Barrier:

- I. <u>Armorwire TL-3 Cable Barrier</u> 3-cable system, for use with post spacing of 3 meters (9.83 feet) through to 9 meters (29.6 feet).
- II. <u>Armorwire TL-4 Cable Barrier</u> 4-cable system, for use with post spacing of 3-meters (9.83 feet) through to 9 meters (29.6 feet).

We concur that the 3-cable design described above and detailed in the enclosed drawings is eligible for reimbursement as an NCHRP Report 350 barrier at TL-3 with a post spacing ranging from 3 meters (9.84 feet) to 9 meters (29.53 feet) under the range of conditions tested, when such use is acceptable to a highway agency. We further agree that the 4-cable design is eligible for reimbursement as an NCHRP Report 350 barrier at TL-4, but only with the 3 meters (post spacing that was actually tested. Based on that one test, there is no reliable method by which the dynamic deflection of the system with 9 meters post spacing can be accurately predicted for an impact with the single-unit truck. A secondary concern is that with large barrier deflections over non-level (sloping terrain), a high center of gravity vehicle is more likely to overturn, rather than be contained and redirected

Please note the following standard provisions that apply to FHWA eligibility letters:


- This letter provides a AASHTO/ARTBA/AGC Task Force 13 designator that should be used for the purpose of the creation of a new and/or the update of existing Task Force 13 drawing for posting on the on-line 'Guide to Standardized Highway Barrier Hardware' currently referenced in AASHTO Roadside Design Guide.
- This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness characteristics of the systems and does not cover their structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.
- Any changes that may influence the crashworthiness of the system will require a new reimbursement eligibility letter.
- Should the FHWA discover that the qualification testing was flawed, that in-service performance reveals safety problems, or that the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, we reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter.
- You will be expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design and installation requirements to ensure proper performance.


- You will be expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the crashworthiness requirements of the NCHRP Report 350.
- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility is designated as number B-222 and shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed at our office upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder. The finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness characteristics of the candidate system, and the FHWA is neither prepared nor required to become involved in issues concerning patent law. Patent issues, if any, are to be resolved by the applicant.
- The Armorwire systems are patented products and considered proprietary. If proprietary systems are specified by a highway agency for use on Federal-aid projects: (a) they must be supplied through competitive bidding with equally suitable unpatented items; (b) the highway agency must certify that they are essential for synchronization with the existing highway facilities or that no equally suitable alternative exists; or (c) they must be used for research or for a distinctive type of construction on relatively short sections of road for experimental purposes. Our regulations concerning proprietary products are contained in Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 635.411.
- Although the barrier performed well under ideal test impact conditions with the two test vehicles, the likelihood of passenger car underrides of any cable system may increase as the post spacing increases, particularly when the barrier is installed on non-level or slightly irregular terrain and the cables are not restrained from lifting at each post. Consequently, some transportation agencies have limited post spacing to approximately 6m (20 feet) for cable barriers. The dynamic deflection of the barrier is likely to increase when it is installed along the convex sides of horizontal curves, and when distances between anchorages exceed the 115- to 130-m (377- to 427-foot) test lengths.

Sincerely yours,

Michael S. Griffith Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety


Enclosures






TEST SUMMARY

HOLMES SOLUTIONS LIMITED, NEW ZEALAND TEST NO. 102350.02-6 // TEST 3-10 // 9 FEB 2010



TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL	Longitudinal	Z	x-direction	7-m centrol 3-m - 7-1g (0.2505 - 0.3006 s) PHD (optional) 8.2 g (0.1673 - 0.1773 s) ASI (optional) 6-60 ft 12-60 s 17-60 s	JAMAGE JEFLEGTIONS (M)	Dynamic	VDS	_		Vehicle stability	
Holmes Solutions Ltd	102350.02-6 T3 9 February 2010	4-wire rope barrier 118.9 M overall	AASHTO M 147-65 Standard soil	4-wire rope barrier with steel posts 99 m LON	3.0 metres 530 / 650 / 770 / 790 mm	820C Tovota Starlet	3700 x 1600 x 1340 753 kg	834.5 kg 911.5 kg	99.7 kph 20 des	Midspan between posts 10 and 11	70.5 kph
· TEST AGENCY	TEST NO.	• TEST ARTICLE	* SOIL TYPE	Description	Post Spacing	• TEST VEHICLE Designation Make/Model	Dimensions (lwh)	Gross Static Wt	Speed	Impact point	Exit speed



Rpt 102350.02-6-311-Rev C.doc

NCHRP 350 Compliance Test 3-11 on Armorwire Cable Barrier with 4 cables

Revision C Page 19 of 39

August 2009

0.00 sec	0.4	0.40 sec	0.80 sec	1.20 sec	1.60 sec
mapel	Initial Defection 1,10***	Vax Dynamic Defection .00	Azic Dynamic effection John		-
					4-1
A		The state of the s			
82 5 VFn d 15 dr 200]
*TEST ARTICLE		*IMPACT CONDITIONS		* POST IMPACT BEHAVIOUR	IUR
Armorwire Cable barrier with 4 cables	rrier with 4 cables	Impact Speed	82.5 kph	Vehicle Stability	Good
		Impact Angle	15°	Stopping Distance	110 metres
Test Level	NCHRP 350 Test 4-12	Exit Speed		Max. Roll angle	-10.8 (0.8230 seconds)
		Exit Angle	•	Max. Pitch angle	-8.9 (4.3279 seconds)
Length	114 metres	*TEST ARTICLE DEFLECTIONS (METRES)	CTIONS (METRES)	Max. Yaw angle	-21.4 (1.2981 seconds)
Wire Heights	530, 650, 770 and	Damage	Substantial	* OCCUPANT RISK VALUES	
	790 mm	Dynamic	1.65 m**	IMPAGT VELOCITY (M/B	IMPAGT VELOCITY (M/S - RIGHT SIDE OF INTERIOR)
Post Centres	3.0 m in impact area	Permanent	0.00 ш	x-direction	-1.5 m/s at 0.3453 s
Soil Type	AASHTO Standard Soil	Working Width	2.15 m	y-direction	2.0 m/s
		*VEHICLE DAMAGE - EXTERIOR	KTERIOR	THIV	2.7 m/s
•TEST VEHICLE		VDS	11-LFG-2	RIDEDOWN DECELERATIONS (G)	ONS (G)
Designation	80008	CDC	11FYSL4	x-direction	-1.4 (0.6316 - 0.6816 s)
ake/Model	Mitsubishi Fuso	Max Deformation	90 mm LF corner	y-direction	-1.9 (0.6225 - 0.6325 s)
Dimensions (lwh)	8650 x 2220 x 3610			PHD	2.3 (0.6522 - 0.6622 s)
Test Inertial Wt	8050 кg	*VEHICLE DAMAGE - INTERIOR	TERIOR	ASI	0.17 (0.6129 - 0.6629 s)
		OCDI	AS000000000	MAX. 0.050 SECOND AVERAGE (6)	IVERAGE (G)
ecip.		Max. Deformation	0.0 mm	x-direction	-0.9 (0.6316 - 0.6816 s)
Line post 13, 38.0 1	Line post 13, 38.0 metres downstream of			y-direction	-1.4 (0.6128 - 0.6628 s)
terminal end ungger post.	r post.			z-direction	1.6 (1.7309 - 1.7809 s)

Report 102350.02-6-412.doc


NCHRP 350 Compliance Test 4-12 on Armorwire Cable Barrier with 4 cables



August 2009 Revision B Page 20 of 40

TEST SUMMARY

HOLMES SOLUTIONS LIMITED, NEW ZEALAND TEST NO. 102350.02-6 // TEST 3-11 // 9 FEB 2010



	• Occupant IMPACT VELOCITY (M/S)	Longitudinal PS of injurior			7	x-directionx-4.0 v (0.4378 - 0.4478 sec)				* TEST ARTICLE DAMAGE Moderale	(M)	Dynamic 3970						• Vehicle Daware - Line		• Post Impact Vehicle 2	Vehicle stability			Max nitch andle	Max vam and a
	Holmes Solutions Ltd	102350.02-6 T4	9 February 2010	3-wire rope barrier	118.9 m overall	AASHTO M147-65 Standard soil		3-wire rope barrier with steel posts	NOJ m 66	9.0 metres	530 / 650 / 770 mm		2000P	Chevrolet C2500 Pick-up	5535 x 1940 x 1840	1927 kg	2001 kg	2001 kg		99.25 kph	25 deg	Midspan between posts 4 and 5			
J. Marie Co.	TEST AGENCY	TEST No	TEST DATE	TEST ARTICLE	INSTALLATION LENGTH	Soil Type	KEY ELEMENTS - BARRIER	Description	Length	Post Spacing	Wire Heights	TEST VEHICLE	Designation	Make/Model	Dimensions (lwh)	Curb Wt	Test Inertial Wt	Gross Static Wt	IMPACT CONDITIONS	Speed	Angle	Impact point	EXIT CONDITIONS	Exit speed	Exit angle