

SEP 1 7 2018

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1 / B-308

Mr. Casey McMaster Saferoads Pty Ltd 22 Commercial Drive Pakenham 3810 Victoria Australia

Dear Mr. McMaster:

This letter is in response to your June 25, 2018 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number B-308 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

#### Decision

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• HV2 Barrier

#### Scope of this Letter

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

#### **Eligibility for Reimbursement**

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: HV2 Barrier Type of system: Longitudinal Barrier Test Level: MASH Test Level 4 (TL4) Testing conducted by: Holmes Solutions LP Date of request: June 25, 2018

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

#### Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

#### Notice

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

#### **Standard Provisions**

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number B-308 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.
- If the subject device is a patented product it may be considered to be proprietary. If proprietary systems are specified by a highway agency for use on Federal-aid projects: (a) they must be supplied through competitive bidding with equally suitable unpatented items; (b) the highway agency must certify that they are essential for synchronization with the existing highway facilities or that no equally suitable alternative exists; or (c) they must be used for research or for a distinctive type of construction on relatively short sections of road for experimental purposes. Our regulations concerning proprietary products are contained in Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 635.411.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Jiffitel

Michael S. Griffith Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

# Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

Submitter	Date of Request:	June 28, 2018		• New	○ Resubmission
	Name:	Casey McMaster			
	Company:	Saferoads Pty Ltd			
	Address:	22 Commercial Drive Pankenham 3810 Victoria			
	Country:	Australia			
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies			

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Device & Testing Criterion - Enter from right to left starting with Test Level				
System Type	Submission Type	Device Name / Variant	Testing Criterion	Test Leve
'B': Rigid/Semi-Rigid Barriers (Roadside, Median, Bridge Railings)	<ul> <li>Physical Crash Testing</li> <li>Engineering Analysis</li> </ul>	HV2	AASHTO MASH	TL4

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

#### Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	Casey McMaster	Same as Submitter 🔀	
Company Name:	Saferoads Pty Ltd	Same as Submitter 🔀	
Address:	22 Commercial Drive Pankenham 3810 Victoria	Same as Submitter 🔀	
Country:	Australia	Same as Submitter 🔀	
Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement			

Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA 'Federal-Aid Reimbursemen Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document.

Saferoads Pty. Ltd. and Texas A&M Transportation Institute share no financial interests in the HV2 Barrier either by TTI or between the two organizations, other than the costs involved in the actual crash tests and reports for this submission to FHWA.

This includes no shared financial interests but not limited to:

i. Compensation included wages, salaries, commissions, professional fees, or fees for business referrals

ii. Research funding or other forms of research support

iii. Patents, copyrights, licenses, and other intellectual property interests

iv. Business ownership and investment interest.

# PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Significant Modification

C Modification to Existing Hardware

The HV2 Steel Barrier is a longitudinal barrier designed to contain, redirect, and shield vehicles from roadside obstacles while providing positive protection and separation between the traveling public and the personnel in the work zone. The HV2 Steel Barrier was designed and tested at TL4 (90km/h-56m/h) and TL-3 (100 km/ h-62 m/h) impact speeds and can be used for lower speed applications. The HV2 Steel Barrier is a free-standing system that does not require anchoring to the road surface and can be used on concrete, asphalt, Sprayed Seal, unsealed compacted pavements and natural surfaces, the HV2 Barriers were installed on Concrete for all tests. The HV2 system consists of a series of individual barrier segments that connect to adjacent barrier segments to create continuous steel barrier wall of unlimited maximum length. When installed as a completely freestanding system, the HV2 Barrier can be used at a minimum length of 29m/95.1 feet, plus end terminals as required, to unlimited infinite length. When installed with pinned redirective crash cushions, the min length is one barrier (5.8m/19 feet) plus the crash cushions. Each barrier segment has an effective length of 5.8m (19ft 3/8inch), is 900mm (35-7/16inch) High, 450mm (17-11/16inch) Wide at the base and 200mm (7-7/8inch) wide at the top. Each segment is joined with a pair of proprietary dual finger and knuckle connectors that are welded into the top beam that stretches the full length of the barrier. The top beam is a 200mm (7-7/8inch) Square Hollow Section (SHS). The single slope steel plate body is fully welded to the lower section of the SHS top Beam and capped with a steel plates at each end. The end plates have 270mm (10-5/8inch) x 130mm (5-1/8inch) openings to enable the filling of the concrete Ballast. The Concrete Ballast is 20 MPa (2900 psi) minimum and is filled to a depth of 300mm (11-13/16inch) in the bottom of the lower body, the top 2/3 of the barrier are hollow. Two 150mm (5-29/32inch) x 100mm (3-15/16inch) x 20mm (25/32inch) thick steel plates are welded to the outer face on the lower half of the end plates to tighten the joint between 2 barriers. Four steel block feet are welded to the base of the barrier, each 75mm (3inch) x 75mm (3inch) x 25mm (3inch) thick. The HV2 barrier segments are hot dip galvanized. Each barrier segment weighs approximately 2,100 kg (4,630 lbs).

## CRASH TESTING

By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.

Engineer Name:	D. Lance Bullard, Jr.		
Engineer Signature:	D. Lance Bullard, Jr. Digitally signed Date: 2018.06	ed by D. Lance Bullard, Jr. .28 09:40:38 -05'00'	
Address:	3100 SH 47, Bryan, TX 77843-3135	Same as Submitter 🗌	
Country:	USA	Same as Submitter 🗌	

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

New Hardware or

### Version 10.0 (05/16)

Dago	2	of	5	
Page	3	01	С	

Required Test	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
4-10 (1100C)	MASH 4-10 (TTI) Test No. 690902-SFR7 Test Date - January 4th, 2018 Test Report No. 690902-SFR6,7&8 The test installation comprised of 48 connected, freestanding HV2 barriers, each 5.8m (19ft-3/8inch) long, totaling 278.4m (913ft-4.63inches). The 1,102kg (2,430lb) 2010 model Kia Rio was traveling at an impact speed of 99.1km/h (61.6mph) as it contacted the HV2 Barrier 1.03m (3.36ft) upstream of the joint between segments 24 and 25 at an impact angle of 24.4°. The HV2 Barrier contained and redirected the test vehicle with a Dynamic deflection of 0.75m (2.45ft). The vehicle did not penetrate, underride or override the installation. No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 102mm (4.0inches). The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 15° and 4° respectively. Occupant Risk factors were all well within the preferred limits specified in MASH.	PASS

#### Version 10.0 (05/16)

Page 4 of 5

Required Test Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
4-11 (2270P)	MASH 4-11 (TTI) Test No. 690902-SFR6 Test Date - January 3rd, 2018 Test Report No. 690902-SFR6,7&8 The test installation comprised of 48 connected, freestanding HV2 barriers, each 5.8m (19ft-3/8inch) long, totaling 278.4m (913ft-4.63inches). The 2,275kg (5,015lb) 2012 model Dodge Ram 1500 was traveling at an impact speed of 101.5km/h (63.1mph) as it contacted the HV2 Barrier 1.34m (4.41ft) upstream of the joint between segments 24 and 25 at an impact angle of 25°. The HV2 Barrier contained and redirected the test vehicle with a Dynamic deflection of 1.47m (4.83ft). The vehicle did not penetrate, underride or override the installation. No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 140mm (5.5inches). The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 14° and 6° respectively. Occupant Risk factors were all well within the preferred limits specified in MASH	PASS
4-12 (10000S)	MASH 4-12 (TTI) Test No. 690902-SFR8 Test Date - January 5th, 2018 Test Report No. 690902-SFR6,7&8 The test installation comprised of 48 connected, freestanding HV2 barriers, each 5.8m (19ft-3/8inch) long, totaling 278.4m (913ft-4.63inches). The 10,074kg (22,210lb) 2007 model Freightliner single-unit box-van truck was traveling at an impact speed of 91.6km/h (56.9mph) as it contacted the HV2 Barrier 1.70m (5.58ft) upstream of the joint between segments 24 and 25 at an impact angle of 15.3°. The HV2 Barrier contained and redirected the test vehicle with a Dynamic deflection of 2.37m (7.77ft). The vehicle did not penetrate, underride or override the installation. No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. No occupant compartment deformation or intrusion occurred. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch apagles ware 16° and 6° respectively.	PASS

Version 10.0 (05/16)

Page 5 of 5

4-20 (1100C)	Transition test is not applicable for the HV2 Barrier.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
4-21 (2270P)	Transition test is not applicable for the HV2 Barrier.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
4-22 (10000S)	Transition test is not applicable for the HV2 Barrier.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)		
Laboratory Signature:	Matt Robinson	Digitally signed by Matt Robinson DN: cn=Matt Robinson, o, ou, email=m-robinson⊛tti.tamu.ec Date: 2018.06.28 10:02:47 -05'00'	
Address:	TTI, TAMU 3135, College Station, TX 77843-3135		Same as Submitter 🗌
Country:	ntry: USA		Same as Submitter 🗌
Accreditation Certificate	ISO 17025 Laboratory		
umber and Dates of current Certificate Number: 2821.01			
Accreditation period : Valid To: April 30, 2019			

Submitter Signature\*: Casey McMaster Digitally signed by Casey McMaster Date: 2018.06.29 09:04:23 +10'00'

Submit Form

# ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligibility Letter			
Number Date		Key Words	

18



#### \$ v

	Post-Impact Trajectory	
mi/h)	Stanning Distance	

General Information		Impact Conditions	Post-Impact Trajectory	
Test Agency	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)	Speed	Stopping Distance	75 m (246 ft) dwnstrm
Test Standard Test No	MASH Test 4-10	Angle		27 m (90 ft) twd traffic
TTI Test No.	690902-SFR7	Location/Orientation 1.03 m (3.36 ft)	Vehicle Stability	
Test Date	2017-01-04	upstream of joint 24-25	Maximum Yaw Angle	48°
Test Article		Impact Severity	Maximum Pitch Angle	4°
Туре	Portable Barrier	Exit Conditions	Maximum Roll Angle	15°
Name	HV2 Barrier	Speed75.7 km/h (47.0 mi/h)	Vehicle Snagging	Slight
Installation Length	278.4 m (913.4 ft)	Angle	Vehicle Pocketing	No
Material or Key Elements	48 barrier units, each 5.8 m (19 ft-3/s inch)	Occupant Risk Values	Test Article Deflections	
	in length, connected with proprietary dual	Longitudinal OIV 5.2 m/s (17.1 ft/s)	Dynamic	0.75 m (2.45 ft)
	finger-and-knuckle connector welded into	Lateral OIV 6.2 m/s (20.3 ft/s)	Permanent	0.74 m (2.42 ft)
	the ends	Longitudinal Ridedown 4.8 g	Working Width	1.05 m (3.45 ft)
Soil Type and Condition	Concrete Pavement, Damp	Lateral Ridedown7.9 g	Height of Working Width	0.90 m (2.95 ft)
Test Vehicle		THIV	Vehicle Damage	
Type/Designation	1100C	PHD8.8 g	VDS	11LFQ5
Make and Model	2010 Kia Rio	ASI1.78	CDC	11FLEW4
Curb	1128 kg (2486 lb)	Max. 0.050-s Average	Max. Exterior Deformation	203 mm (8.0 inches)
Test Inertial	1102 kg (2430 lb)	Longitudinal10.3 g	OCDI	LF0020000
Dummy	75 kg (165 lb)	Lateral 13.0 g	Max. Occupant Compartment	
Gross Static	1177 kg (2595 lb)	Vertical3.8 g	Deformation	102 mm (4.0 inches)

2018-05-30

Figure 5.6. Summary of Results for MASH Test 4-10 on HV2 Barrier.



25

General Information		Impact Conditions	Post-Impact Trajectory
Test Agency	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)	Speed 101.5 km/h (63.1 mi/h)	Stopping Distance 134 m (440 ft)
Test Standard Test No	MASH Test 4-11	Angle	downstream
TTI Test No.	690902-SFR6	Location/Orientation 1.34 m (4.41 ft)	Vehicle Stability
Test Date	2017-01-03	upstream of joint 24-25	Maximum Yaw Angle 33°
Test Article		Impact Severity 144 kJ (106 kip-ft)	Maximum Pitch Angle 6°
Туре	Portable Barrier	Exit Conditions	Maximum Roll Angle 14°
Name	HV2 Barrier	Speed, est'd 87 km/h (54 mi/h	Vehicle Snagging Minimal
Installation Length	278.4 m (913.4 ft)	Angle, est'd Out of view (~10°)	Vehicle Pocketing No
Material or Key Elements	48 barrier units, each 5.8 mm (19 ft-3/2	Occupant Risk Values	Test Article Deflections
	inch) in length, connected with	Longitudinal OIV 4.1 m/s (13.4 ft/s)	Dynamic 1.47 m (4.83 ft)
	proprietary dual finger-and-knuckle	Lateral OIV 4.8 m/s (15.8 ft/s)	Permanent 1.47 m (4.83 ft)
	connector welded into the ends	Longitudinal Ridedown 5.0 g	Working Width 1.81 m (5.94 ft)
Soil Type and Condition	Concrete Pavement, Damp	Lateral Ridedown 10.3 g	Height of Working Width 0.90 m (2.95 ft)
Test Vehicle	energy and a second	THIV	Vehicle Damage
Type/Designation	2270P	PHD 10.5 g	VDS 11LFQ5
Make and Model	2012 Dodge RAM 1500 Pickup	ASI	CDC 11FLEW4
Curb	2218 kg (4890 lb)	Max. 0.050-s Average	Max. Exterior Deformation 305 mm (12.0 inche
Test Inertial	2275 kg (5015 lb)	Longitudinal6.9 g	OCDI LF0030000
Dummy	75 kg (165 lb)	Lateral9.2 g	Max. Occupant Compartment
Gross Static	2350 kg (5180 lb)	Vertical3.8 g	Deformation 140 mm (5.5 inches

2018-05-30

Figure 6.8. Summary of Results for MASH Test 4-11 on HV2 Barrier.



2018-05-30

Gross Static ...... 10 074 kg (22,210 lb)

Figure 7.7. Summary of Results for MASH Test 4-12 on HV2 Barrier.

Vertical.....-3.1 g

Deformation..... None















