

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

SEP 1 7 2018

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1 / CC-144

Mr. Felipe Almanza TrafFix Devices Inc. 160 Avenida La Pata San Clemente CA 92672

Dear Mr. Almanza:

This letter is in response to your June 11, 2018 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number CC-144 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

# Decision

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• SLED mini to Concrete Barrier

# Scope of this Letter

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

# Eligibility for Reimbursement

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: SLED mini to Concrete Barrier Type of system: Terminal Test Level: MASH Test Level 2 (TL2) Testing conducted by: KARCO Date of request: June 11, 2018 Date initially acknowledged: June 20, 2018

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

# Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

# Notice

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

# **Standard Provisions**

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number CC-144 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.
- If the subject device is a patented product it may be considered to be proprietary. If proprietary systems are specified by a highway agency for use on Federal-aid projects: (a) they must be supplied through competitive bidding with equally suitable unpatented items; (b) the highway agency must certify that they are essential for synchronization with the existing highway facilities or that no equally suitable alternative exists; or (c) they must be used for research or for a distinctive type of construction on relatively short sections of road for experimental purposes. Our regulations concerning proprietary products are contained in Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 635.411.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Juffith

Michael S. Griffith Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 1 of 9

# Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	June 08, 2018	New	← Resubmission
	Name:	Felipe Almanza		
ter	Company:	TrafFix Devices Inc.		
mit	Address:	160 Avenida La Pata		4
Sut	Country:	United States		
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies		

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Device & Testing Criterion - Enter from right to left starting with Test Level				
System Type	Submission Type	Device Name / Variant	Testing Criterion	Test Level
'CC': Crash Cushions, Attenuators, & Terminals	<ul> <li>Physical Crash Testing</li> <li>Engineering Analysis</li> </ul>	SLED mini to Concrete Barrier	AASHTO MASH	TL2

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

# Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	Felipe Almanza	Same as Submitter 🔀		
Company Name:	TrafFix Devices Inc.	Same as Submitter 🔀		
Address:	160 Avenida La Pata	Same as Submitter 🔀		
Country:	United States	Same as Submitter 🔀		
Enter below all dis	sclosures of financial interests as required by the Fl	IWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement		
Eligibility Process	for Safety Hardware Devices' document.			
TrafFix Devices Inc.	and Karco Engineering LLC share no financial interests	between the two organizations. This		
includes no shared	financial interest but not limited to:			
i. Compensation including wages, salaries, commissions, professional fees, or fees for business referrals				
ii. Research funding or other forms of research support;				
iv. Patents, copyrig	v. Patents, copyrights, licenses, and other intellectual property interests;			
Lui Ducinoss ou nor	Duringer gumership and investment interacts.			

vi. Business ownership and investment interests;

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 2 of 9

# PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

• New Hardware or

# C Modification to

Significant Modification <sup>(</sup> Existing Hardware

The SLED mini is a free standing, non-redirective, gating crash cushion, designed to shield the end of a concrete barrier. The SLED mini does not require anchoring to the road surface and can be used on concrete, asphalt, gravel, and dirt surfaces. The SLED mini was tested on a concrete surface. The SLED mini can be used in TL-2 and TL-1 installations to treat the end of concrete barrier. The SLED mini test series was conducted at TL-2 conditions. The SLED mini utilizes a transition that is mechanically attached to the barrier it is shielding. The SLED mini system consists of four main components: two yellow water filled modules, one Containment Impact Sled (CIS), and one transition. The SLED mini's overall dimensions are 12.0 ft (3.7 m) long (pin to pin) X 23 in (0.58 m) wide X 32 in (0.83 m) tall. The modules have overall dimensions of 73.0 in (1.9 m) long (pin to pin) X 18.0 in (0.5 m) wide X 32.0 ft (0.8 m) tall. The yellow modules are manufactured from polyethylene that is UV stabilized. The SLED mini system consists of two water filled modules with the front module connected to the steel CIS. The water filled modules weigh approx. 1100 lbs (500 kg) when filled. The SLED mini's yellow water filled modules contain a fill lid, which incorporates a pop-up float water level indicator for identifying that modules are filled to the appropriate level. Permanently molded within the plastic modules are three corrosion resistant cables. The modules are designed with knuckles at the ends which contain a series of vertically aligned concentric holes that allow a steel t-pin to be inserted to positively connect the two-yellow water filled modules together. When modules are pinned together there are a total of eight knuckles aligned with the steel t-pin inserted. At the front of the SLED mini system is the steel CIS that is connected to the front yellow water filled module. The CIS is designed using a steel tube frame and sheet metal construction. The front yellow water filled module is connected to the CIS through the vertically aligned concentric holes in the knuckles and the t-pin connects the module and the CIS together. This is the same connection method used between the two-yellow water filled modules. Bolted to the front impact face on the CIS is the directional indicator panel. The directional indicator panel is a square sheet of plastic that contains gore point directional sheeting on one side and left, or right, directional sheeting on the opposite side. This allows the user to convert the panel to the proper direction when installing the SLED. The directional indicator panel contours to the curved shape on the front impact face on the CIS and is secured by six bolts. Other directional sheeting and markings are available. The SLED mini is attached to the barrier using two transition panels attached to both sides of the concrete barrier. The SLED mini transition is made of three main components: one steel transition frame and two symmetric transition panels. The transition frame is positively connected to the rear most water filled module through the vertically aligned concentric holes in the knuckles using a steel t-pin. This is the same connection method used between the yellow water filled modules and between the CIS and the front yellow water filled module. The transition panels are pinned to the transition frame using outboard alignment pins designed into the transition frame. The transition panels are attached to the barrier using a minimum of four mechanical fasteners per side. A minimum of eight fasteners are required to attach the SLED to the barrier.

# CRASH TESTING

By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.

Engineer Name:	Robert Ramirez		
Engineer Signature:	Digitally signed by Robert Ramirez           Digitally signed by Robert Ramirez           Disc cn=Robert Ramirez, owLARCO Engineering, ou=Proje           mail=rramirez@karco.com, c=US           Date: 2018.06.08 17:01:06.0700'		rt Ramirez o=KARCO Engineering, ou=Project Engineer, om, c=US 6 -07'00'
Address:	9270 Holly Rd. Adelanto, CA 92301		Same as Submitter 🗌
Country:	United States		Same as Submitter 🗌

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

Version 10.0 (05/16)

Dago	2	of	0
raye	5	OI	2

		3
Required Test Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
2-30 (1100C)	Not applicable for non-redirective crash cushion	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
2-31 (2270P)	Not applicable for non-redirective crash cushion	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
2-32 (1100C)	Not applicable for non-redirective crash cushion	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
2-33 (2270P)	Not applicable for non-redirective crash cushion	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
2-34 (1100C)	Not applicable for non-redirective crash cushion	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
2-35 (2270P)	Not applicable for non-redirective crash cushion	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
2-36 (2270P)	Not applicable for non-redirective crash cushion	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
2-37 (2270P)	Not applicable for non-redirective crash cushion	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
2-38 (1500A)	Not applicable for non-redirective crash cushion	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

Version 10.0 (05/16)

Page 4 of 9

		5
Required Test	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
2-40 (1100C)	The SLED mini was positioned offset a quarter of the vehicle's width towards the passenger side. The offset position examines the risk of exceeding occupant risk values, vehicle instability, and vehicle yaw movement. The test was conducted using a commercially available 2013 Kia Rio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2,420.6 lbs (1,098.0 kg). The test vehicle impacted the SLED mini at a velocity of 45.33 mph (72.95 km/hr) and at an impact angle of 0.2°. The test vehicle impacted the steel Containment Impact Sled (CIS), pushing it rearward crushing and rupturing the yellow water filled module within the CIS. As the vehicle continued rearward the second yellow water filled module was crushed, ruptured, and dispersed the contained water. The vehicle rotated in a clockwise direction about its yaw axis before coming to a controlled stop 19.2 ft (5.9 m) forward and 2.8 ft (0.8 m) lateral from the initial point of impact. The yellow SLED mini modules remained tethered together and securely attached to the barrier via the steel t-pins between the module knuckles which connects directly to the internal molded in steel cables. The impacting vehicle was brought to a controlled stop, remained upright, and did not exhibit vaulting throughout the impact event. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated and there was no in cab deformation beyond allowable limits. The maximum roll and pitch angle did not exceed 75° and occupant risk values were within limits per MASH specifications for Occupant Impact Velocity (OIV) and Ridedown Acceleration (RA).	PASS

# Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 5 of 9

	The SLED mini was positioned in line with	
	the center of the test vehicle. The inline	
	centered position examines the risk of	
	exceeding occupant risk values, vehicle	
	instability, capacity to absorb sufficient	
	impact energy, and the SLED mini's ability	
	to bring the vehicle to a controlled stop. The	
	test was conducted using a commercially	
	available 2011 Ram 1500 4-door pickup	al constraints and the second s
	truck with a test inertial mass of 5,017.7 lbs	>
	(2,276.0 kg). The test vehicle impacted the	
	SLED mini at a velocity of 44.07mph (70.92	
	km/hr) and at an impact angle of 0°. The	
	test vehicle impacted the steel Containment	
	Impact Sled (CIS), pushing it rearward	
	crushing and rupturing the yellow water	и.
	filled module within the CIS dispersing the	
	contained water. As the vehicle continued	
	rearward the second yellow water filled	
2-41 (2270P)	module was crushed, ruptured, and	PASS
	dispersed the contained water. The yellow	
	SLED mini modules remained tethered	
	together and securely attached to the	
	barrier via the steel t-pins between the	
	module knuckles which connects directly to	
	the internal molded in steel cables. The	
	impacting vehicle was brought to a	
	controlled stop 29.7 ft (9.1 m) forward and	
	7.1 in (180 mm) lateral from the initial point	
	of impact, remained upright, and did not	
	exhibit vaulting throughout the impact	ы 15.
	event. The test vehicle's occupant	
	compartment was not penetrated and there	
	was no in cab deformation beyond	æ
	allowable limits. The maximum roll and	
	pitch angle did not exceed 75° and	
	occupant risk values are within limits per	
	MASH specifications for Occupant Impact	
1 	Velocity and Ridedown Acceleration.	

# Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 6 of 9

The SLED mini was positioned at a nominal	л		7
angle of 5° with the center of the test			
vehicle. The angle position examines the			
risk of exceeding occupant risk values,			
vehicle instability, capacity to absorb			
sufficient impact energy, and the SLED			
mini's ability to bring the vehicle to a			
controlled stop. The test was conducted			
using a commercially available 2012 Kia Rio			
4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of			
2,403.0 lbs (1,090.0 kg). The test vehicle			
impacted the crash cushion at a velocity of			
41.91 mph (67.45 km/hr) and at an impact			
angle of 5.1°. The test vehicle impacted the			
steel Containment Impact Sled (CIS),			
pushing it rearward crushing and rupturing			
the yellow water filled module within the			
CIS dispersing the contained water. As the			
vehicle continued rearward the second			
yellow water filled module was crushed,	PASS		
ruptured, and dispersed the contained			
water. The yellow SLED mini modules			
remained tethered together and securely			
attached to the barrier via the steel t-pins			
between the module knuckles which			
connects directly to the internal molded in			
steel cables. The impacting vehicle was			
brought to a controlled stop 11.4 ft (3.5 m)			
forward and 1.8 ft (0.5 m) lateral from the			
initial point of impact, remained upright,			
and did not exhibit vaulting throughout the			
impact event. The test vehicle's occupant			
compartment was not penetrated and there			
was no in cab deformation beyond			
allowable limits. The maximum roll and			
pitch angle did not exceed 75°. Occupant			
risk values are within limits per MASH			
specifications for Occupant Impact Velocity			
and Ridedown Acceleration.			
	The SLED mini was positioned at a nominal angle of 5° with the center of the test vehicle. The angle position examines the risk of exceeding occupant risk values, vehicle instability, capacity to absorb sufficient impact energy, and the SLED mini's ability to bring the vehicle to a controlled stop. The test was conducted using a commercially available 2012 Kia Rio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2,403.0 lbs (1,090.0 kg). The test vehicle impacted the crash cushion at a velocity of 41.91 mph (67.45 km/hr) and at an impact angle of 5.1°. The test vehicle impacted the steel Containment Impact Sled (CIS), pushing it rearward crushing and rupturing the yellow water filled module within the CIS dispersing the contained water. As the vehicle continued rearward the second yellow water filled module was crushed, ruptured, and dispersed the contained water. The yellow SLED mini modules remained tethered together and securely attached to the barrier via the steel t-pins between the module knuckles which connects directly to the internal molded in steel cables. The impacting vehicle was brought to a controlled stop 11.4 ft (3.5 m) forward and 1.8 ft (0.5 m) lateral from the initial point of impact, remained upright, and did not exhibit vaulting throughout the impact event. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated and there was no in cab deformation beyond allowable limits. The maximum roll and pitch angle did not exceed 75°. Occupant risk values are within limits per MASH specifications for Occupant Impact Velocity and Ridedown Acceleration.	The SLED mini was positioned at a nominal angle of 5° with the center of the test vehicle. The angle position examines the risk of exceeding occupant risk values, vehicle instability, capacity to absorb sufficient impact energy, and the SLED mini's ability to bring the vehicle to a controlled stop. The test was conducted using a commercially available 2012 Kia Rio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2,403.0 lbs (1,090.0 kg). The test vehicle impacted the crash cushion at a velocity of 41.91 mph (67.45 km/hr) and at an impact angle of 5.1°. The test vehicle impacted the steel Containment Impact Sled (CIS), pushing it rearward crushing and rupturing the yellow water filled module within the CIS dispersing the contained water. As the vehicle continued rearward the second yellow water filled module was crushed, ruptured, and dispersed the contained water. The yellow SLED mini modules remained tethered together and securely attached to the barrier via the steel t-pins between the module knuckles which connects directly to the internal molded in steel cables. The impacting vehicle was brought to a controlled stop 11.4 ft (3.5 m) forward and 1.8 ft (0.5 m) lateral from the initial point of impact, remained upright, and did not exhibit vaulting throughout the impact event. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated and there was no in cab deformation beyond allowable limits. The maximum roll and pitch angle did not exceed 75°. Occupant risk values are within limits per MASH specifications for Occupant Impact Velocity and Ridedown Acceleration.	The SLED mini was positioned at a nominal angle of 5° with the center of the test vehicle. The angle position examines the risk of exceeding occupant risk values, vehicle instability, capacity to absorb sufficient impact energy, and the SLED mini's ability to bring the vehicle to a controlled stop. The test was conducted using a commercially available 2012 Kia Rio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2,403.0 lbs (1,090.0 kg). The test vehicle impacted the crash cushion at a velocity of 41.91 mph (67.45 km/hr) and at an impact angle of 5.1°. The test vehicle impacted the steel Containment Impact Sled (CIS), pushing it rearward crushing and rupturing the yellow water filled module within the CIS dispersing the contained water. As the vehicle continued rearward the second yellow water filled module was crushed, ruptured, and dispersed the contained water. The yellow SLED mini modules remained tethered together and securely attached to the barrier via the steel t-pins between the module knuckles which connects directly to the internal molded in steel cables. The impacting vehicle was brought to a controlled stop 11.4 tf (3.5 m) forward and 1.8 tf (0.5 m) lateral from the initial point of impact, remained upright, and did not exhibit vaulting throughout the impact event. The test vehicle's occupant compartment was not penetrated and there was no in cab deformation beyond allowable limits. The maximum roll and plich angle did not exceed 75°. Occupant risk values are within limits per MASH specifications for Occupant Impact Velocity and Ridedown Acceleration.

# Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 7 of 9

	The SLED mini was positioned at a nominal	
	angle of 5° with the center of the test	
	vehicle. The angle position examines the	
	risk of exceeding occupant risk values,	
	vehicle instability, capacity to absorb	
	sufficient impact energy, and the SLED	
	mini's ability to bring the vehicle to a	
	controlled stop. The test was conducted	
	using a commercially available 2011 Ram	
	1500 4-door pickup truck with a test inertial	
	mass of 5,024.3 lbs (2,290.0 kg). The test	
	vehicle impacted the crash cushion at a	
	velocity of 46.36 mph (74.61 km/hr) and at	
	an impact angle of 5.1°. The test vehicle	
	impacted the steel Containment Impact	
	Sled (CIS), pushing it rearward crushing and	
	rupturing the yellow water filled module	
	within the CIS dispersing the contained	
	water. As the vehicle continued rearward	
2-43 (2270P)	the second yellow water filled module was	PASS
	crushed, ruptured, and dispersed the	
	contained water. The yellow SLED mini	
	modules remained tethered together and	
	securely attached to the barrier via the steel	
	t-pins between the module knuckles which	
	connects directly to the internal molded in	
	steel cables. The impacting vehicle was	
	brought to a controlled stop 25.0 ft (7.6 m)	8
	forward and 2.9 ft (0.9 m) lateral from the	<i>a</i>
	initial point of impact, remained upright,	
	and did not exhibit valiting throughout the	
	impact event. The test venicle's occupant	
	compartment was not penetrated and there	
	allowable limits. The maximum roll and	
	nitch angle did not exceed 75° Occupant	
	risk values are within limits per MASH	
	specifications for Occupant Impact Velocity	
	and Ridedown Acceleration	
	and mideuown Acceleration.	

# Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 8 of 9

		. age	0 01 2
	The SLED mini was positioned at a nominal		
	angle of 20° with the center line of the		
	impacting vehicle directed at the corner of		
	the concrete barrier that is connected to the		
	rear SI ED mini vellow water filled module.		
	The side angled impact test is to evaluate		
	the SLED mini's ability to bring the		
	impacting vehicle to a controlled stop. This		
	impacting venicle to a controlled stop. This		
	angle and intersection directed the test		
	venicle into the front of the steel		
	Containment Impact Sled (CIS) at its CIP as		
	defined in MASH for test procedures for		
	Gating Non-Redirective Crash Cushion. The		
	test was conducted using a commercially		
	available 2013 Ram 1500 4-door pickup		
	truck with a test inertial mass of 5,022.0 lbs		
	(2,278.0 kg). The test vehicle impacted the		
	crash cushion at a velocity of 45.80 mph		
	(73.70 km/hr) and at an impact angle of		
	19.7°. The test vehicle made initial contact		
	with the leading edge of the CIS and the		
	vellow SI ED mini water filled module. Upon		
2-44 (2270P)	impact the CIS began to rotate in a counter	PASS	
2 11 (22/01)	clockwise direction and began fracturing	11100	
	and dispersed the water contained in the		
	vallow water filled module within the CIS		
	As the vehicle centinued to move forward		
	As the vehicle continued to move forward		
	the second yellow water filled module also		
	rotated counterclockwise and was crushed		
	and ruptured dispersing the water		
	contained within the module. The yellow		
	SLED mini modules remained tethered		
	together via the steel t-pins between the		
	module knuckles which connects directly to		
	the internal molded in steel cables. The		
	impacting vehicle was brought to a		
	controlled stop 28.2 ft (8.6 m) forward and		
	0.9 ft (0.3 m) lateral from the initial point of		
	impact, remained upright, and did not		
	exhibit vaulting throughout the impact		
	event. The test vehicle's occupant		
	compartment was not penetrated and there	-	
	was no in cab deformation beyond		
	allowable limits. The maximum roll and		
	nitch angles did not avgoed 75°		
	The GLED Minister to the second state		
2 45 (45004)	I ne SLED Mini is not a staged crash cushion		
2-45 (1500A)	and therefore, per MASH, the test is not	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted	
	required.		

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 9 of 9

Laboratory Name:	KARCO Engineering		16
Laboratory Signature:	AB_	Digitally signed by Alex Be DN: cn=Alex Beltran, o=KA email=abeltran@karco.cor Date: 2018.06.08 17:06:37	ltran IRCO Engineering, ou=Testing Laboratory, m, c=US -07'00'
Address:	9270 Holly Rd. Adelanto, CA 92301		Same as Submitter 🗌
Country:	United States		Same as Submitter 🗌
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	October 12, 2017 - July 1, 2018	*	

Submitter Signature\*: Felipe almanya

Digitally signed by Felipe Almanza DN: cn=Felipe Almanza, o=TrafFix Devices Inc. ou=Engineering, email=falmanza@traffixdevices.com, c=US Data; 2018 01 11 200:32, 02700

Submit Form

# ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligibility Letter		
Number	Date	Key Words

# MASH Test 2-40 Summary



0.000 s

0.050 s

0.200 s

0.400 s

1.200 s

19.2 ft. [5.9 m]

# **General Information**

Test Agency	KARCO Engineering, LLC.
KARCO Test No	P37267-01
Test Designation	2-40
Test Date	09/28/17

# Test Article

Name / Model	SLED Mini
Туре	Crash Cushion
Installation Length	52.7 ft. (16.1 m)
Terminal Length	12.0 ft. (3.7 m)
Road Surface	Concrete

# <u>Test Vehicle</u>

Type / Designation	1100C
Year, Make, and Model	2013 Kia Rio
Curb Mass	2,522.0 lbs (1,144.0 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	2420.6 lbs (1,098.0 kg)
Gross Static Mass	2,590.4 lbs (1,175.0 kg)

Impact Conditions	
Impact Velocity	45.33 mph (72.95 km/h)
Impact Angle	0.2°
Location / Orientation	16.8 in. (427 mm) right of
	vehicle CL
Kinetic Energy	166.3 kip-ft (225.4 kJ)
Exit Conditions	
Exit Velocity	N/A
Exit Angle	N/A
Final Vehicle Position	19.2 ft (5.9 m) downstream
	2.8 ft. (0.8 m) left
Vehicle Snagging	None
Vehicle Pocketing	None
Vehicle Stability	Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle	-3.7°
Maximum Pitch Angle	0.9°
Maximum Yaw Angle	125.4°

Occupant Risk	
Longitudinal OIV	28.9 ft/s (8.8 m/s)
Lateral OIV	0 ft/s (0.0 m/s)
Longitudinal RA	-8.2 g
Lateral RA	5.8 g
THIV	28.9 ft/s (8.8 m/s)
PHD	9.8 g
ASI	1.02
Test Article Deflections	
Static	7.8 ft. ft. (2.4 m)
Dynamic	N/A
Working Width	9.7 ft. (3.0 m)
Vehicle Damage	
Vehicle Damage Scale	12-FD-3
CDC	12FDEW2

Maximum Intrusion.....

Figure 2 Summary of Test 2-40

TR-P37267-01-NC

0.29 in. (7 mm)

# MASH Test 2-41 Summary



0.000 s

0.100 s

0.200 s

0.300 s

0.400 s



General Information	
Test Agency	KARCO Engineering, LLC
KARCO Test No	. P37263-01
Test Designation	
Test Date	. 08/10/17
Test Article	
Name / Model	SLED mini
Туре	. Crash Cushion
Installation Length	. 52.7 ft. (16.1 m)
Terminal Length	. 12.0 ft. (3.7 m)
Road Surface	Concrete
Test Vehicle	
	00000

I	est	. V	e	Π	IC	le	
	T.	-		1		~ ~	ł

Type / Designation	2270P	
Year, Make, and Model	2011 RAM 1500	
Curb Mass	5,066.1 lbs (2,298.0 kg)	)
Test Inertial Mass	5,017.7 lbs (2,276.0 kg)	)
Gross Static Mass	5,017.7 lbs (2,276.0 kg)	)

Figure 2 Summary of Test 2-41

l	mpact Conditions	
	Impact Velocity	44.07 mph (70.92 km/h)
	Impact Angle	. 0°
	Location / Orientation	0.2 in (5 mm) left of vehicle
		centerline
	Kinetic Energy	. 325.8 kip-ft (441.7 kJ)

# Exit Conditions

Exit Velocity	N/A
Exit Angle	N/A
Final Vehicle Position	29.7 ft (9.1 m) downstream
	7.1 in. (180 mm) right
Vehicle Snagging	None
Vehicle Pocketing	None
Vehicle Stability	Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle	4.1°
Maximum Pitch Angle	1.3°
Maximum Yaw Angle	4.5°

# Occupant Risk Longitudinal OIV...... 23.0 ft/s (7.0 m/s) Lateral OIV..... 1.0 ft/s (0.3 m/s) Longitudinal RA.....-13.3 g Lateral RA..... 2.1 g THIV...... 23.0 ft/s (7.0 m/s) PHD...... 13.3 g ASI..... 0.60 Test Article Deflections

Cot Altione Democrations	
Static	. 1.3 ft. (0.4 m)
Dynamic	N/A
Working Width	. 3.0 ft. (0.9 m)

### Vehicle Damage

Vehicle Damage Scale	12-FC-3
CDC	12FCLN3
Maximum Intrusion	0.2 in. (5 mm)

# MASH Test 2-42 Summary



0.000 s

0.120 s

0.240 s

0.600 s

1.080 s



Impact Conditions

### **General Information**

Test Agency	KARCO Engineering, LLC.
KARCO Test No	P37284-01
Test Designation	
Test Date	08/04/17
Toot Auticle	

### Test Article

Name / Model	SLED mini
Туре	Crash Cushion
Installation Length	52.7 ft. (16.1 m)
Terminal Length	12.0 ft. (3.7 m)
Road Surface	Concrete

### Test Vehicle

Type / Designation	1100C
Year, Make, and Model	. 2012 Kia Rio
Curb Mass	. 2,401.9 lbs (1,089.5 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	2,403.0 lbs (1,090.0 kg)
Gross Static Mass	2,572.8 lbs (1,167.0 kg)

### 

Occupant Risk
Longitudinal OIV 26.6 ft/s (8.1 m/s)
Lateral OIV 0.7 ft/s (0.2 m/s)
Longitudinal RA
Lateral RA2.1 g
THIV
PHD7.0 g
ASI 0.93
Test Article Deflections
Static
DynamicN/A
Working Width 60.0 in. (1.5 m)
Vehicle Damage
Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FD-3
CDC 12FDEW2
Maximum Intrusion 0.2 in. (5 mm)

Figure 2 Summary of Test 2-42

# MASH Test 2-44 Summary



General Information





0.050 s



0.300 s

282 Ft. 186 M



0.700 s



1.900 s

Test Agency KARCO Engineering, LLC.
KARCO Test No P37270-01
Test Designation
Test Date
Test Article
Name / Model SLED mini
Type Crash Cushion
Installation Length 57.7 ft. (17.6 m)
Terminal Length 12.0 ft. (3.7 m)
Road Surface Concrete
Test Vehicle
Type / Designation 2270P
Year, Make, and Model 2013 RAM 1500
Curb Mass

Test Inertial Mass......5,022.0 lbs (2,278.0 kg) Gross Static Mass.......5,022.0 lbs (2,278.0 kg)

# Figure 2 Summary of Test 2-44

In	npact Conditions	
	Impact Velocity	45.80 mph (73.70 km/h)
	Impact Angle	19.7°
	Location / Orientation	1.0 in (25 mm) right of vehicle
		centerline
	Kinetic Energy	352.2 kip-ft (477.5 kJ)

# Exit Conditions

	Exit Velocity	N/A
	Exit Angle	N/A
	Final Vehicle Position	28.2 ft (8.6 m) downstream
		0.9 ft. (0.3 m) right
	Vehicle Snagging	None
	Vehicle Pocketing	None
	Vehicle Stability	Satisfactory
	Maximum Roll Angle	-23.4°
	Maximum Pitch Angle	-16.9°
_	Maximum Yaw Angle	-62.0°

# Occupant Risk 30.5 ft/s (9.3 m/s) Lateral OIV. 1.0 ft/s (0.3 m/s) Longitudinal RA. -17.9 g Lateral RA. 8.3 g THIV. 7.9 ft/s (2.4 m/s) PHD. 18.8 g ASI. 1.05

## **Test Article Deflections**

Static	18.6 ft. (5.7 m)
Dynamic	N/A
Working Width	3.0 ft. (0.9 m)

### Vehicle Damage

Vehicle Damage Scale	12-FD-4
CDC	12FDEW3
Maximum Intrusion	0.9 in. (23 mm)



# **INTENDED USE**

The SLED mini is a free standing, non-redirective, gating crash cushion, designed to shield the end of concrete barrier. The SLED mini does not require anchoring to the road surface and can be used on concrete, asphalt, gravel, and dirt surfaces. The SLED mini can be used in TL-2 and TL-1 installations to treat the end of concrete barrier. The SLED mini system consists of four main components: two yellow water filled modules, one Containment Impact Sled (CIS), and one transition. The SLED mini system consists of two water filled modules with the front module connected to the steel CIS. The water filled modules weigh approx. 1100 lbs (500 kg) when filled. The SLED mini's yellow water filled modules contain a fill lid, which incorporates a pop-up float water level indicator for identifying that modules are filled to the appropriate level. When modules are pinned together there are a total of eight knuckles aligned with the steel t-pin inserted. At the front of the SLED mini system is the steel CIS that is connected to the front yellow water filled module. Bolted to the front impact face on the CIS is the directional indicator panel. The directional indicator panel is a square sheet of plastic that contains gore point directional sheeting on one side and left, or right, directional sheeting on the opposite side. This allows the user to convert the panel to the proper direction when installing the SLED. The directional indicator panel contours to the curved shape on the front impact face on the CIS and is secured by six bolts. Other directional sheeting and markings are available. The SLED mini is attached to the barrier using two transition panels attached to both sides of the concrete barrier. The SLED mini transition is made of three main components: one steel transition frame and two symmetric transition panels. The transition frame is positively connected to the rear most water filled module through the vertically aligned concentric holes in the knuckles using a steel t-pin. The transition panels are pinned to the transition frame using outboard alignment pins designed into the transition frame. The transition panels are attached to the barrier using a minimum of four mechanical fasteners per side. A minimum of eight fasteners are required to attach the SLED to the barrier.

SLED mini End Treatment for Concrete Barrier Length: 12.0 ft (3.7 m) long (pin to pin) Height: 32 in (0.83 m) Width: 23 in (0.58 m)

The SLED mini End Treatment for attachment to concrete barrier has been fully tested to the procedures of MASH.

ELIGIBILITY

FHWA Eligibility Letters:

# **CONTACT INFORMATION**

**TrafFix Devices, Inc.** Corporate Headquarters 160 Avenida La Pata San Clemente, CA 92673 www.traffixdevices.com

Phone: +1(949)-361-5663 Fax: +1(949)-361-9205 Email: info@traffixdevices.com

# MASH SLED mini End Treatment

SHEET NO.	DATE:
2 OF 2	4/25/13

TrafFix Devices Inc.

