

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/CC-162

Mr. Ron Faulkenberry Gibraltar Global, LLC. 1208 Houston Clinton Dr Burnet TX 78611 USA

Dear Mr. Faulkenberry:

This letter is in response to your January 30, 2020 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number CC-162 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

Decision

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• TL-3 4 Cable End Terminal

Scope of this Letter

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

Eligibility for Reimbursement

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: TL-3 4 Cable End Terminal

Type of system: End Terminal

Test Level: MASH Test Level 3 (TL3)

Testing conducted by: Applus IDIADA KARCO Engineering, LLC.

Date of request: January 30, 2020

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

Notice

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

Standard Provisions

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA
 control number CC-162 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test
 documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and
 documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Griffith

Director, Office of Safety Technologies

Michael & Fifthete

Office of Safety

Enclosures

Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	January 30, 2020	Ne	ew.	Resubmission	
	Name:	Steven Matsusaka	teven Matsusaka			
tter	Company:	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering, LLC.				
Submitter	Address:	9270 Holly Rd, Adelanto, CA 92301				
Sut	Country:	United States of America				
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies				

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

<u>Device & Testing Criterion - Enter from right to left starting with Test Level</u>					!-!-!
SystemType	SubmissionType	Device Name / Va	riant	TestingCriterion	Test Level
'CC': Crash Cushions, Attenua	Physical Crash TestingEngineering Analysis	TL-34CableEnd Terminal		AASHTOMASH	TL3

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	RonFaulkenberry	SameasSubmitter		
CompanyName:	Gibraltar Global, LLC.	SameasSubmitter		
Address:	1208 Houston Clinton Dr, Burnet TX78611	SameasSubmitter		
Country:	United States of America	SameasSubmitter		
Eligibility Process fo	closures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federa' or Safety Hardware Devices' document.			
Gibraltar Global, LLC. and Applus IDIADA Karco Engineering, LLC. share no (\$0.00) financial interests between the two organizations. This includes no (\$0.00) financial interest but not limited to:				
i.Compensation, including wages, salaries, commissions, professional fees, or fees for business referrals (dollar valuesare not needed); ii. Consulting relationships; iii. Research funding or other forms of research support;				
iv. Patents, copyrights, and other intellectual property interests; v. Licenses or contractual relationships; or vi. Business ownership and investment interest.				

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Help)
------	---

New Hardware or	Modification to
New Hardware or Significant Modification	Existing Hardware

The Gibraltar Global TL-34 Cable End Terminal consists of one (1) anchor post assembly, one (1) cable release assembly, two (2) J-bolt posts, and two (2) sockets. The terminal is classified as a gating redirective end terminal designed to be used with the Gibraltar Global 4 cable MASH system. The Gibraltar Global Cable Barrier system can be installed with post spacing ranging from 7.0 ft (2.1 m) to 21.0 ft. (6.4 m), the post spacing used for this test was 7.0 ft (2.1 m) to evaluate vehicle stability and occupant compartment damage. The as-tested terminal had a total length of 27.5 ft. (8.4 m) and the complete installation length was 214.8 ft. (65.5 m). As recommended in MASH the cables were tensioned to the manufacturer's specified tension at 100°F, which was 4200 lbs.

There was one modification made during the testing of the Gibraltar Global TL-34Cable End Terminal during the MASH test program. For Tests 30 and 31, the system included a LON line post installed at the end of the terminal section, 7.5 ft. (2.3 m) downstream of the second J-bolt post and 27.5 ft. (8.4 m) from the anchor post. The final system design, as used for Tests 32, 33, 34, 35, and 37b, the LON line post at the downstream end of the terminal was moved to 14.5 ft. downstream from the second J-bolt post. The overall terminal length for both versions of the system was 27.5 ft. (8.4 m). Complete details on the design modification is included in Attachment A to this submission and in the complete test reports.

CRASH TESTING

By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash testsare necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.

Engineer Name:	Steven Matsusaka	
Engineer Signature:	Steven Matsusaka Di on-Steven Matsusaka	a, email=steven.matsusaka@idiada.com, c=US i.Matsusaka
	Date: 2020.01.1419:07:40	0-08'00'
Address:	9270 Holly Rd, Adelanto, CA 92301	SameasSubmitter 🔀
Country:	United States of America	SameasSubmitter 🔀

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

Help

		Page 3 01 7
RequiredTest Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
3-30 (1100C)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P37410-01. An 1100C test vehicle impacting the terminal end at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 0°, respectively, with the quarter point of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2013 KiaRio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2425.0 lbs (1100.0 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 61.48 mph (98.95 km/h) and and angle of 0.4°. The impact activated the cable release post and the vehicle wasallowed to penetrate the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 8.9 ft/s (2.7 m/s) and 1.0 ft/s (0.3 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -5.6 g and -3.9 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-30.	PASS
3-31 (2270P)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P37411-01. A 2270P test vehicle impacting the terminal end at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 0°, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 4-door pickup truck with a test inertial mass of 4992.3 lbs (2264.5 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 60.11 mph (96.74 km/h) and and angle of 1.1°. The impact activated the cable release post and the vehicle wasallowed to penetrate the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 2.6 ft/s (0.8 m/s) and 3.9 ft/s (1.2 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -2.0 g and 1.7 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-31.	PASS

RequiredTest	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
3-32 (1100C)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P37403-01. An 1100C test vehicle impacting the terminal end at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 5°, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2013 Hyundai Accent 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2425.0 lbs (1100.0 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 62.53 mph (100.64 km/h) and and angle of 5.3°. The impact activated the cable release post and the vehicle wasallowed to penetrate the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 8.9ft/s (2.7 m/s) and 1.3ft/s (0.4 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -4.0 g and -5.0 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the	PASS
3-33 (2270P)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P38257-01. A 2270P test vehicle impacting the terminal end at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 5°, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 4-door pickup truck with a test inertial mass of 4946.0 lbs (2243.5 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 61.60 mph (99.14 km/h) and and angle of 5.2°. The impact activated the cable release post and the vehicle wasallowed to penetrate the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 0.3ft/s (0.1 m/s) and 3.0ft/s (0.9 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -1.4 g and 0.8 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-33.	PASS

		Page 5 01 7
3-34 (1100C)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P38333-01. An 1100C test vehicle impacting the terminal at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 15°, respectively, with the corner of the vehicle bumper aligned with the Critical Impact Point (CIP) of the Length of Need (LON) of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2013 KiaRio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2432.8 lbs (1103.5 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 62.33 mph (100.31 km/h) and and angle of 15.6°. The system contained and redirected the vehicle within the exit box and with a Working Width of 3.7 ft. (1.1 m). The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 10.8 ft/s (3.3 m/s) and 12.1 ft/s (3.7 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -6.1 g and -8.0 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the	PASS
3-35 (2270P)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P38194-01. A 2270P test vehicle impacting the terminal at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 25°, respectively, with the corner of the vehicle bumper aligned with the beginning of the Length of Need (LON) of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory. A 2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 4-door pickup truck with a test inertial mass of 5008.8 lbs (2272.0 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 63.23 mph (101.76 km/h) and and angle of 25.2°. The system contained and redirected the vehicle within the exit box and with a Working Width of 9.7 ft. (3.0 m). The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 3.9 ft/s (1.2 m/s) and 10.5 ft/s (3.2 m/s) in the x- and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -3.7 g and 4.4 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-35.	PASS

		Page 6 of 7
3-36 (2270P)	MASHTest Designation 3-36. A 2270P test vehicle impacting the terminal at a nominal impact speed and angle of 62 mph and 25°, respectively, with the corner of the vehicle bumper aligned with the Critical Impact Point (CIP) with respect to the transition to a stiff barrier or backup structure. This test Is primarily intended to evaluate the performance of the terminal when connected to astiff barrier or a backup structure. Asa cable barrier terminal, the Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal is not designed to be transition into astiff barrier or backup structure and therefore Test 36 is not relevant and was not conducted.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-37 (2270P)	Applus IDIADA KARCOTest No. P38236-01. An 1100C test vehicle impacting the terminal at a nominal speed and angle of 62 mph and 25°, respectively, with the corner of the vehicle bumper aligned with the Critical Impact Point (CIP) of the terminal for reverse direction impacts. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory in a reverse direction impact. A 2012 KiaRio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2448.2 lbs (1110.5 kg) impacted the terminal at a velocity of 62.53 mph (100.63 km/h) and and angle of 24.9°. Upon impact, cables released and allowed the vehicle to gate through the system in a controlled manner. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and deformation limits were not exceeded. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) were 23.3 ft/s (7.1 m/s) and 19.7 ft/s (6.0 m/s) in the x-and y-directions, respectively. The Ridedown Accelerations were -16.0 g and 12.9 g, respectively. The Gibraltar Global TL-3 4 Cable Terminal met all of the requirements for MASHTest 3-37b.	PASS
3-38 (1500A)	MASHTest Designation 3-38. A 1500A test vehicle impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 62 mph and 0°, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the terminal. This test Is primarily intended to evaluate the performance of astaged attenuator/terminal when Impacted by a mid-size vehicle. The Gibraltar Global TL-34 Cable Terminal is not astaged device, because the force required to move the Impact head down the rail does not change. Therefore, Test 38 is not relevant and was not conducted.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

3-40 (1100C)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-41 (2270P)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-42 (1100C)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-43 (2270P)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-44 (2270P)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-45 (1500A)	Test for non-redirective crash cushions, not applicable for terminals.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering, LLC	С.	
LaboratorySignature:	Steven Matsusaka	DN: cn=Steven Matsusaka, Digitally signed by Steven M Date: 2020.01.1419:07:54-	
Address:	9270 Holly Rd, Adelanto, CA 92301		SameasSubmitter 🖂
Country:	United States of America		SameasSubmitter 🖂
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	TL-371:July 2019 - July 2022		

SubmitterSignat	_* .Steven
Submitter Signat	ure . Matsusaka

Digitally signed by Steven Matsusaka
DN: cn=Steven Matsusaka,
email=steven.matsusaka@idiada.com, c=U
Date: 2020.01.14.19.07.59..08001

Submit Form

ATTACHMENTS


Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligibility Letter		
Number	Date	Key Words

MASH 2016 Test 3-30 Summary



GENERA	L INFO	RMATION

 Test Agency
 Applus IDIADA KARCO

 Test No
 P37410-01

 Test Designation
 3-30

 Test Date
 1/29/18

TEST ARTICLE

TEST VEHICLE

Impact Conditions

Exit Conditions

Exit Velocity..... Vehicle did not exit

Exit Angle..... N/A

Final Vehicle Position....... 135.4 ft. (41.3 m) Downstream

17

4.7 ft. (1.4 m) Left

Exit Box Criteria Met....... N/A
Vehicle Snagging...... None
Vehicle Pocketing...... None

Vehicle Stability..... Satisfactory

Maximum Roll Angle....... 4.0 ° Maximum Pitch Angle....... 1.7 °

Maximum Yaw Angle...... 17.8 °

Occupant Risk


Lateral RA.....-3.9 g

Test Article Deflections

Static.....N/A


5.3 ft. (1.6 m) Left

Vehicle Damage



MASH 2016 Test 3-31 Summary





Gross Static Mass...... 4,992.3 lbs (2,264.5 kg)


Impact Velocity	60.11 mph (96.74 km/h)
Impact Angle	1.1°
Location / Orientation	1.4 in (36 mm) Left
Kinetic Energy	603.0 kip-ft (817.6 kJ)
Exit Conditions	
Exit Velocity	Vehicle did not exit
Exit Angle	N/A
Final Vehicle Position	. 227.9 ft. (69.5 m) Left
	1.4 ft. (0.4 m) Downstream
Exit Box Criteria Met	N/A
Vehicle Snagging	None
Vehicle Pocketing	None
Vehicle Stability	Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle	2.3 °
Maximum Pitch Angle	2.5 °
Maximum Yaw Angle	4.4 °

Impact Conditions

<u></u>	
Occupant Risk	
Longitudinal OIV	. 2.6 ft/s (0.8 m/s)
Lateral OIV	3.9 ft/s (1.2 m/s)
Longitudinal RA	. -2 .0 g
Lateral RA	
THIV	
PHD	
ASI	=
Test Article Deflections	
Static	. N/A
Dynamic	. 3.3 ft. (1.0 m)
Working Width	
Debris Field Lateral	
	, ,
Vehicle Damage	
Vehicle Damage Scale	. 12-FD-2
CDC	12FDEW2
Maximum Intrusion	0.3 in. (8 mm)

Figure 4 Summary of Test 3-31

MASH 2016 Test 3-32 Summary




GENERAL INFORMATION	
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO
Test No	P37403-01
Test Designation	3-32
Test Date	2/12/18
TEST ARTICLE	
Name / Model	TL-3 4 Cable Terminal
Type	End Terminal
Installation Length	213.0 ft. (64.9 m)
Terminal Length	27.5 ft. (8.4 m)
Road Surface	Compacted Soil
TEST VEHICLE	
Type / Designation	1100C
Year, Make, and Model	2013 Hyundai Accent
Curb Mass	2,489.0 lbs (1,129.0 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	2,425.0 lbs (1,100.0 kg)
Gross Static Mass	2,592.6 lbs (1,176.0 kg)
	. , ,

Impact Conditions	
Impact Velocity	
Impact Angle	
Location / Orientation	. 1.5 in. (38 mm) Right of CL
Kinetic Energy	. 317.0 kip-ft (429.8 kJ)
Exit Conditions	
	Vehicle did not evit
Exit Velocity	
Exit Angle	. N/A
Final Vehicle Position	. 136.6 ft. (41.6 m) Downstream
	2.3 ft. (0.7 m) Left
Exit Box Criteria Met	N/A
Vehicle Snagging	. None
Vehicle Pocketing	. None
Vehicle Stability	Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle	3.6 °
Maximum Pitch Angle	. 6.3 °
Maximum Yaw Angle	-12.9 °

_	
l	Occupant Risk
ı	Longitudinal OIV 8.9 ft/s (2.7 m/s)
ı	Lateral OIV 1.3 ft/s (0.4 m/s)
ı	Longitudinal RA4.0 g
ı	Lateral RA5.0 g
ı	THIV 8.9 ft/s (2.7 m/s)
ı	PHD 6.3 g
l	ASI 0.23
l	
l	Test Article Deflections
l	StaticN/A
l	Dynamic 5.6 ft. (1.7 m)
ı	Working Width11.1 ft. (3.4 m)
ı	Debris Field
ı	14.0 ft. (4.3 m) Left
ı	Vehicle Damage
ı	Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FR-4
ı	CDC 12FZEW2
	Maximum Intrusion Negligible

Figure 3 Summary of Test 3-32

MASH 2016 Test 3-33 Summary



G	ENERAL INFORMATION	
	Test Agency	IDIADA KARCO Engineering
	Test No	P38257-01
	Test Designation	3-33
	Test Date	8/31/18
T	EST ARTICLE	
	Name / Model	TL-3 4 Cable Terminal
	Type	End Terminal
	Installation Length	213.0 ft. (64.9 m)
	Terminal Length	27.5 ft. (8.4 m)
	Road Surface	Compacted Soil

Type / Designation	2270P
Year, Make, and Model	2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500
Curb Mass	5,060.6 lbs (2,295.5 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	4,946.0 lbs (2,243.5 kg)
Gross Static Mass	4.946.0 lbs (2.243.5 kg)

Impact Conditions	
Impact Velocity 6	61.60 mph (99.14 km/h)
Impact Angle5	5.2°
Location / Orientation (
Kinetic Energy 6	
Exit Conditions	
Exit Velocity 5	59.36 mph (95.53 km/h)
Exit Angle 3	3.4°
Final Vehicle Position 2	204.9 ft. (62.5 m) Downstream
2	23.4 ft. (7.1 m) Left
Exit Box Criteria Met	N/A
Vehicle Snagging 1	None
Vehicle Pocketing	None
Vehicle Stability S	Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle	0.7 °
Maximum Pitch Angle	1.9 °
Maximum Yaw Angle	·3.3 °


Occupant Risk	
Longitudinal OIV	0.3 ft/s (0.1 m/s)
Lateral OIV	3.0 ft/s (0.9 m/s)
Longitudinal RA	-1.4 g
Lateral RA	0.8 g
THIV	3.6 ft/s (1.1 m/s)
PHD	1.6 g
ASI	0.09
Test Article Deflections	
Static	N/A
Dynamic	3.3 ft. (1.0 m)
Working Width	3.3 ft. (1.0 m)
Deblis Fleid	64.3 ft. (19.6 m) Downstream
Debits Field	
Vehicle Damage	64.3 ft. (19.6 m) Downstream
	64.3 ft. (19.6 m) Downstream 7.9 ft. (2.4 m) Left
Vehicle Damage	64.3 ft. (19.6 m) Downstream 7.9 ft. (2.4 m) Left 12-FD-2*

^{*} Before secondary impact

Figure 4 Summary of Test 3-33

TEST VEHICLE

MASH 2016 Test 3-34 Summary




GENERAL INFORMATION	
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO
Test No	P38333-01
Test Designation	3-34
Test Date	11/20/18
TEST ARTICLE	
Name / Model	TL-3 4 Cable Terminal
Туре	End Terminal
Installation Length	318.3 ft. (97.0 m)
Terminal Length	27.5 ft. (8.4 m)
Road Surface	Compacted soil
TEST VEHICLE	
Type / Designation	1100C
Year, Make, and Model	2013 Kia Rio
Curb Mass	2,554.0 lbs (1,158.5 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	2,432.8 lbs (1,103.5 kg)
Gross Static Mass	2,637.8 lbs (1,196.5 kg)

impact Conditions	
Impact Velocity	62.33 mph (100.31 km/h)
Impact Angle	. 15.6°
Location / Orientation	.3.4 in. (86 mm) from midspan
Impact Severity	. 22.8 kip-ft (31.0 kJ)
Exit Conditions	
Exit Velocity	. 48.09 mph (77.39 km/h)
Exit Angle	. 4.5°
Final Vehicle Position	195.8 ft. (59.7 m) Downstream
	37.9 ft. (11.6 m) Right
Exit Box Criteria Met	Yes
Vehicle Snagging	. None
Vehicle Pocketing	None
Vehicle Stability	Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle	. 2.9 °
Maximum Pitch Angle	8.9 °
Maximum Yaw Angle	19.5 °

Occupant Risk		
Longitudinal OIV	10.8 ft/s (3.3 m/s)	
Lateral OIV	12.1 ft/s (3.7 m/s)	
Longitudinal RA	6.1 g	
Lateral RA	8.0 g	
THIV	18.0 ft/s (5.5 m/s)	
PHD	. 9.8 g	
ASI		
Test Article Deflections		
Static	. N/A	
Dynamic	3.2 ft. (1.0 m)	
Working Width		
	100.1 ft. (30.5 m) Downstream	
	24.7 ft. (7.5 m) Lateral	
Vehicle Damage		
Vehicle Damage Scale	. 11-LFQ-4	
CDC	.11LYEW3	
Maximum Intrusion		

Figure 3 Summary of Test 3-34

MASH 2016 Test 3-35 Summary



GENERAL INFORMATION		
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO	
Test No	P38194-01	
Test Designation	3-35	
Test Date	6/29/18	
TEST ARTICLE		
Name / Model	TL-3 4 Cable Terminal	
Type	End Terminal	
Installation Length	335.7 ft. (102.3 m)	
Terminal Length	27.5 ft. (8.4 m)	
Road Surface	Concrete and compacted soil	
TEST VEHICLE		
Type / Designation	2270P	
Year, Make, and Model	2012 Chevrolet Silverado 1500	
Curb Mass	5,049.6 lbs (2,290.5 kg)	
Test Inertial Mass	5,008.8 lbs (2,272.0 kg)	
Gross Static Mass	5,008.8 lbs (2,272.0 kg)	


Impact Conditions	
Impact Velocity	
Impact Angle	
Location / Orientation	
Impact Severity	. 121.4 kip-ft (164.5 kJ)
Exit Conditions	
Exit Velocity	. Out of Camera View
Exit Angle	. N/A
Final Vehicle Position	240.9 ft. (73.4 m) Downstream
	18.6 ft. (5.7 m) Right
Exit Box Criteria Met	Yes
Vehicle Snagging	. None
Vehicle Pocketing	. None
Vehicle Stability	. Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle	. 11.3 °
Maximum Pitch Angle	. 3.9 °
Maximum Yaw Angle	. 24.9 °

17

_			
I	Occupant Risk		
I	Longitudinal OIV	3.9 ft/s (1.2 m/s)	
I	Lateral OIV	10.5 ft/s (3.2 m/s)	
I	Longitudinal RA	3.7 g	
I	Lateral RA	4.4 g	
I	THIV		
ı	PHD		
ı	ASI	0.42	
ı			
ı	Test Article Deflections		
ı	Static	.3.4 ft. (1.0 m)	
ı	Dynamic	9.7 ft. (3.0 m)	
ı	Working Width		
ı		172.8 ft. (52.7 m) Downstream	
ı		37.0 ft. (11.3 m) Field Side	
ı	<u>Vehicle Damage</u>	,	
ı	Vehicle Damage Scale	. 11-LFQ-3	
ı	CDC		
ı	Maximum Intrusion	Negligible	

Figure 4 Summary of Test 3-35

MASH 2016 Test 3-37b Summary




GENERAL INFORMATION	
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO
Test No	P38236-01
Test Designation	3-37b
Test Date	9/17/18
TEST ARTICLE	
Name / Model	TL-3 4 Cable Terminal
Type	End Termina l
Installation Length	314.8 ft. (96 m)
Terminal Length	27.5 ft. (8.4 m)
Road Surface	Compacted soil
TEST VELUCI E	
TEST VEHICLE	
Type / Designation	1100C
Year, Make, and Model	2012 Kia Rio
Curb Mass	2,417.3 lbs (1,096.5 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	2,448.2 lbs (1,110.5 kg)
Gross Static Mass	2,613.5 lbs (1,185.5 kg)


Impact Conditions Impact Velocity
Location / Orientation Terminal Post 2
Impact Severity56.7 kip-ft (76.9 kJ)
Exit Conditions
Exit Velocity 32.06 mph (51.60 km/h)
Exit Angle 3.2°
Final Vehicle Position 107.4 ft. (32.7 m) Downstream
16.4 ft. (5.0 m) Right
Exit Box Criteria Met N/A
Vehicle Snagging None
Vehicle PocketingNone
Vehicle Stability Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle7.1 °
Maximum Pitch Angle3.7 °
Maximum Yaw Angle62.6 °


18


Occupant Risk		
Longitudinal OIV	23.3 ft/s (7.1 m/s)	
Lateral OIV	19.7 ft/s (6.0 m/s)	
Longitudinal RA	-16.0 g	
Lateral RA	12.9 g	
TH I V		
PHD	20.1 g	
AS I	1.39	
Test Article Deflections		
Static	N/A	
Dynamic	.4.3 ft. (1.3 m)	
Working Width		
	146.1 ft. (44.5 m) Downstream	
	32.8 ft. (10 m) Lateral	
Vehicle Damage		
Vehicle Damage Scale	01-LFQ-4	
CDC	01FDEW3	
Maximum Intrusion	0.7 in. (18 mm)	

Figure 4 Summary of Test 3-37b









Drafter:

ANSI B

